

Canada's Health Care System

Medicare – the logistics

- **Medicare** is Canada's national health care system, a group of socialized health insurance plans
 - Canada boasts one of the **highest life expectancies** and **lowest infant mortality** rates of industrialized countries, which may attribute to Canada's health care system.
- **Publicly funded** – funded by the government through taxes
- **Single-payer healthcare system** – costs are covered by one payer, i.e. a single government-owned pool of money
 - The Canadian 'single-player system' contracts healthcare services *from* private organizations, and thus most services are actually *provided by* private providers (e.g. physicians, hospitals)
 - Family physicians usually work on a fee-for-service basis, while specialists are usually paid through a combination of fee-for-service *and* fixed contracts with hospitals etc.
 - The U.K.'s NHS is a single-payer system that actually *owns* its own healthcare resources and employs its own personnel (see comparison of healthcare systems below)
 - Advantages of Canada's universal healthcare / single-payer systems:
 - **(1) Guaranteed health-care**, regardless of social or financial status
 - **Non-discriminatory** against those who can't afford insurance
 - **(2) Reduces costs**
 - a) Government has a **higher degree of control over total nationwide expenditure** on healthcare, and have **greater leverage to negotiate lower drug and device prices** from sellers.
 - b) Non-profit administration, so the **maximization of profits** is not a primary incentive.
 - c) **Reduced administrative costs**
 - Why? In multi-payer systems, the providers must follow different procedures with each of the different insurance companies, creating a great deal of administrative work.
 - Thus, single-payer systems have reduced administrative costs and less-complex billing.
 - Disadvantages:

- **1) Longer wait times**, sometimes leading to an inability to access necessary health-care in a timely fashion
 - Why? Main reason is perhaps that there are many more people using (/overusing) the health-care system
 - Is this necessarily accurate though? Some argue that increasing private services merely siphons off a percentage of medical professionals from the public sector, thereby *increasing* the workload and backlog in the public sector.
- **2) Reduced incentive to improve**
 - Why? There becomes less of a financial incentive for companies to carry out research and develop new medicines and treatments.
- **3) Higher taxes**
 - Problematic if there is a mismatch between how much someone *pays for* health-care and how much someone *uses* health-care
 - Problematic if someone has reservations about how their tax money is being spent, ex. treating criminals, funding something they are morally against (e.g. abortion) etc.
- Comparison: U.S. Health-Care
 - U.S. health-care operates under a ‘multi-payer healthcare system’, whereby individuals and employers obtain health coverage from private sources.
 - This comes at the cost of control over the total expenditure on health, complex billing and extra administrative costs, wealthy/healthy vs. poor/poorly, etc.
 - In fact, the U.S. spend more on healthcare than any other developed nation (15%+ of GDP), while still having worse overall health than other developed nations spending significantly less.
- Comparison: British Health-Care
 - Like Canadian healthcare, health care is provided by a single payer — the British government — and is funded by the taxpayer.
 - *Unlike* Canadian healthcare, the NHS is a single-payer system that actually *owns* its own healthcare resources and employs its own personnel.
 - All appointments and treatments are free to the patient, prescriptions have a fixed rate:
 - If an adult gets a prescription from a doctor in England and then buys the drugs themselves, there is a set charge of £8 (\$15 CAD)

- The charge is the same whether it's a packet of aspirins that would normally cost under £1 or you are the only user of a drug that cost millions to research.
 - Alternatively, a flat charge for 3 months or a year can be paid.
 - This fee is waived for those who are pregnant, children, >60 years old, and low-income patients
 - Dental not covered
 - Britain spends 10% of its GDP of healthcare.
- Comparison: French Health-Care
 - Universal health care, largely financed by government national health insurance. Considered one of the best overall healthcare systems in the world.
 - As a nation, 11.6% of GDP is spent on health care, a figure much higher than the average spent by countries in Europe but still less than in the US (15%+).
 - Technically a multi-payer system, with the government as one of the biggest payers.
 - The French government generally refunds patients 70% of most health care costs, meaning that *everyone* gets basic care for very cheap (note: 100% can be refunded in case of costly or long-term ailments).
 - Supplemental coverage is available from private insurers.

Canada operates on a 'two-tier' healthcare system

- Public:
 - 70% of total national healthcare expenditure falls under the public branch
- Private:
 - 30% privately funded, with services may be paid for out-of-pocket or through private insurance (e.g. benefits offered by an employer)
 - Due to federal law, private insurers generally have a reduced scope on what they can cover, and generally cannot cover services that are already publicly insured and covered in the Canada Health Act.

- Thus, private insurers generally cover services that are not covered by the government (ex. prescription medicines, dental, optometry/vision, physiotherapy, elective surgery such as cosmetic surgery)
- Private clinics typically offer services with reduced wait times (e.g. MRI), leading this two-tier system to be a subject of controversy, as some feel that it promotes an unbalance in the health care system and undermines the principle of free and equal access to care.

Primary goals

- **Free universal coverage for medically necessary health-care services**
 - Includes maternity care and infertility services
 - Provided on the basis of **need**, rather than on the ability to pay.
- **Canada Health Act principles:**
 - **Comprehensiveness**
 - All medically necessary services (i.e. hospitals, physicians, etc.) are *available* and *insured*
 - **Universality**
 - All insured residents are entitled to the same level of health-care.
 - **Public administration**
 - Administration and operation on a *non-profit* basis
 - **Portability**
 - All persons are entitled to coverage from their home province, even if they move to another province or territory within Canada.
 - **Accessibility**
 - All persons must have *reasonable access* to medically necessary facilities and services *without financial or other barriers* (ex. age, health status, etc.)

The Canadian health-care system is also responsible for **public health** (ex. sanitation, preventing infectious diseases, and health-related education)

Statistics

- 83,000 physicians, ~half are FM/GP, ~half are specialists,
 - ~ 1 physician / 450 people

- ~ 1 primary care physician / 900 people
- ~ 1 specialist / 900 people

Control of health-care at different levels of government:

- Federal (nation-wide)
 - Drug prices are negotiated at this level (allowing negotiation of bulk medicine rates to control and reduce costs)
 - Quality of care is maintained at federal standards (i.e. federal government provides guidelines)
 - Federal government provides funding support to its provincial governments for healthcare expenditures
- Provincial/Territorial (10 provinces, 3 territories)
 - Spending decisions of a fixed budget are made at the level of the province (i.e. instead of having a single national plan for spending, there are 13 provincial/territorial health care insurance plans)
 - In each province, each doctor handles the insurance claim against the provincial insurer
- Municipal (e.g. Halifax)
 - e.g. emergency services, water